

Nana Ganpati Shingade .. Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra .. Respondent

J.P.Kharge for applicant
Ms.M.M.Deshmukh, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.
DATE : 31st August 2006.

P.C.

Learned Advocate appearing for applicant states that during the pendency of this application for bail, the applicant had moved the

trial court for enlarging him on bail and that application is also dismissed. Similarly he states that there is a co-accused who is enlarged on bail by the trial court.

2. In my view, the subsequent developments would enable the applicant to apply for appropriate reliefs afresh. No useful purpose will be served by entertaining this application. Hence, the application is dismissed.

(S.C.Dharmadhikari, J)